Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,298	HAZUCHA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Linh M. Nguyen	2816
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Linh M. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Samuel W. Ntiros.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 8 and 48		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  A teleconference was held to clarify the claim language, specifically claims 8 and 48, to place the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)